| | Case 2:06-cv-00522-KJD-RJJ Docur | ment 27 | Filed 06/25/07 | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|---------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 8 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | JON D. BOLTON, | | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | Case | e No. 2:06-CV-003 | 522-KJD-RJJ |
| 12 | v. | ORI | <u>DER</u> | |
| 13 | STATE OF NEVADA, et al., | | | |
| 14 | Defendants. | | | |
| 15 | | 1 | | |
| 16 | Presently before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (#22) of United States | | | |
| 17 | Magistrate Judge Robert J. Johnston. Plaintiff filed timely Objections (#23) in accordance with 28 | | | |
| 18 | U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. Having conducted a <i>de novo</i> review, the Court finds that the | | | |
| 19 | Objections should be granted. Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action was in accordance with the | | | |
| 20 | Court's Order (#19) entered July 5, 2006, referring the Amended Complaint (#20) to the magistrate | | | |
| 21 | judge for screening in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915e. | | | |
| 22 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections (#23) to the Report and | | | |
| 23 | Recommendation (#22) of United States Magistrate Judge Robert J. Johnston entered May 7, 2007 | | | |
| 24 | are GRANTED; | | | |
| 25 | //// | | | |
| 26 | //// | | | |
| | | | | |

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Amended Complaint (#20) is referred to the magistrate judge for screening in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915e.

DATED this 22^{ND} day of June 2007.

Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge